

Ms. Katalin Baranyi and Mr. Herman J Berge
P.O. Box 706
Nuku'alofa
Tonga

E-mail: herman.berge@rettsnorge.org

Mr. Yann Baden
Transparency International
27, rue J. B. Esch
L-1473 Luxembourg
Luxembourg

Nuku'alofa August 7 2012

Att : Mr. Baden
Re : **Request for assistance and action** on organized crime, corruption, fraud and other serious irregularities in the Luxembourg Government, Governmental institutions and the Luxembourg financial business
Case # :
Your reference :
Our reference : TI e-mail of June 4 2012
Posting by : E-mail
Numbers of pages : 10

Dear Mr. Baden,

Thank you for responding to our e-mail of May 2 2012 in which we requested for a meeting regarding the combat against this huge and internationally widespread financial crime of which the Luxembourg Government is deeply involved in. Before we continue, we strongly recommend you to read this whole letter through, although it consists of 10 pages. The information you will find below is devastating for Luxembourg, of great importance in general, and should be dealt with accordingly.

The escape from Luxembourg – saving our lives

In early May 2012 we fled from Luxembourg, Europe and our € 1 million home. The reason for our hasty escape was that our lives were – and still are – in great danger. By reading this letter you will learn who's behind the death threats against us, and the reason for these threats.

In your response of June 4 2012 you indicated that you were free to meet us;

"...to see how TI Luxembourg can assist you."

For the time being we are residing in Tonga, but we will be able to meet with you at any time in New Zealand or Australia, though in an acceptable and secure environment.

Luxembourg's rank in the books of Transparency International – a scam

According to Transparency International's (TI) "*Corruption by Country*" barometers, *the Corruption Perceptions Index*, Luxembourg is ranked 11 out of 183 countries, and is given a score of 8.5 points out of 10. The following is Luxembourg's scores in other relevant indexes provided by the TI; *the Financial Secrecy Index* (Rank 3); *Judicial Independence* (Rank 14); *Rule of law* (Percentile Rank 98 %); *Voice and accountability* (Percentile Rank 98 %); *Global Corruption Barometer* (47 % of the citizens believe that the Government's effort to fight corruption are effective); *Control of Corruption* (Percentile Rank 95 %); and *Press freedom* (Rank 6).

Comments: When you protect the people from the truth, when you manipulate and deceive the people to believe in lies, when you keep the people in blissful

ignorance to the truth, you get results like the ones TI provides us with in regards to Luxembourg.

These indicators which TI presents to the world as reliable facts, does not by far correlate with the truth in Luxembourg, a truth which we have learned the hard way and which we in this letter will present the highlights of. But first some words about the governmental and administrative situation in Luxembourg.

As you previously have been informed of, and as we have documented to you and to all relevant institutions in both Luxembourg and in the EU in previous correspondence, most Luxembourg institutions – among these are the Grand Duke Henri's¹ Public Prosecutors, CSSF, the Grand Dukes' lawyers, the Grand Duke's judges, the Grand Duke's courts, the Grand Duke's police force, the Grand Duke's notaries, the Grand Duke's bailiffs, the Grand Duke's Parliament, the EU commissioner of justice, Viviane Reding, etc – including all private and public institutions involved in the financial business in Luxembourg, are totally and truly corrupted to the core. This fact has slowly surfaced during the course of our investigation against Danske Bank and the state of Luxembourg, and was duly and thoroughly confirmed by a Luxembourg lawyer in a several hour long meeting in Luxembourg earlier this year.

During this meeting, the lawyer – who on beforehand had been briefed about the *state of affairs* (of our cases) by different "significant" persons in the central administration – informed us about our situation in general and in particular, and in this picture he described our chances not only to succeed in our cases and in our future careers, but our chances of actually surviving.

Make note that what we could call *the lawyer-institution* in Luxembourg is built around the judicial system as an impenetrable wall. You won't be able to do anything in Luxembourg without going through a lawyer. Filing law suits, defending yourself against lawsuits, filing objections against the bailiffs' service, filing objections against the notaries' service, filing appeals against any court decision, filing criminal complaints, filing complaints against the law enforcement, or carry out any other correspondence with the law enforcement, notaries, bailiffs or the courts is impossible to do without a lawyer doing it for you.²

As the Luxembourg courts are the government's last defence, its last bulwark, the lawyers are the judicial system's last defence. Hence, we are left to believe in and take full notice of every word a Luxembourg lawyer utters.

The message from the lawyer, which you will find below, is unmistakable, and it is basically – we were told – a common and expected result/consequence of *our* reactions against the mistreatment and tyranny we have experienced from Luxembourg and EU authorities. But first a few words about the substance of our situation and complaints:

In order to protect our rights, our home, and our family we have since late 2008 complained against; **1)** being deceived and defrauded by the Danske Bank³; **2)**

¹ We describe all these institutions as clearly the Grand Duke's, as all court decisions are passed in his name, as all judges pledge their allegiance to the Grand Duke, as all lawyers are *called* to the bar and pledge their allegiance to the Grand Duke, as the notaries pledge their allegiance to the Grand Duke, and as all public servants pledge their allegiance to the Grand Duke.

² This Luxembourg-system, which is in violation with the [ECHR](#) and [EU law](#), completely contradicts the lawyer's right to freely choose their clients. This in turn means that a person in certain matters, like in financial crimes involving governmental interests, will actually have no access to court, and will lose everything.

³ This financial fraud of which we have been exposed to, is actually part of a huge international crime; the so called [Equity Release Scheme](#), instigated mostly by Scandinavian/Nordic banks, with the aim to deceive and defraud elderly couples for their pensions and their unencumbered holiday homes in Spain and Southern France.

CSSF, for protecting and supporting the bank in its day to day criminal activities;⁴ **3)** the public prosecutor, for covering up and protecting the bank's and CSSF's criminal activity; **4)** the police, for covering up and protecting illegal covert surveillance instigated by the bank and the Prime Minister Jean-Claude Juncker; **5)** the Luxembourg courts, for carrying out secret hearings to protect the bank, CSSF, and the public prosecutor; **6)** the Luxembourg courts, for passing secret decisions in the bank's favour, although facts and a fair hearing would have put the bank's officers as well as several public officials in jail for many years; **7)** the Luxembourg courts, for obstructing our right to access to a court of law; **8)** the Luxembourg courts, for obstructing our right to defend ourselves; **9)** the Luxembourg courts, for obstructing our right to file lawsuits; **10)** the Luxembourg courts, for obstructing our right to appeal against its decisions; **11)** the Luxembourg courts, bailiffs and notaries, for obstructing our rights to try our objections against the crimes the bank, the notaries, the bailiffs, the public prosecutors, the judges, actually the whole Luxembourg crime-protective institutions are committing against us; and **12)** the Luxembourg police, prosecutors and courts, for refusing to protect our rights and our lives.

These well documented complaints and letters to different so called democratically established institutions, the lawyer told us, are the direct cause to all the problems and tyranny we have encountered in Luxembourg and in the EU.

MS BARANYI'S PHD STUDIES IN LUXEMBOURG

Late in 2008 Katalin started her PhD studies on Human Rights at the University of Luxembourg. In three out of three attempts her application for [FNR grants](#) was rejected for no justifiable reason whatsoever. No one, especially not the staff at the university, understood why her applications were rejected. Now we know, and we let the lawyer explain the reason for you:

KB: Katalin BARANYI, **HJB:** Herman J BERGE, **NN:** The lawyer

151. NN: You are an (long pause) Apprentice (talking to KB)? Which doctorate are you preparing?
152. KB: Human rights.
153. NN: Human rights?
154. KB: I mean all that I have studying in human rights, it doesn't practice here.
155. 01:04:45
156. NN: **That's dangerous. That's a dangerous, eh, job!**
158. NN: **and you write to Reding?**
159. KB: Because she's ([VIVIANE REDING](#)) the boss of the Human Rights. I mean, we need, we want to get help
214. HJB: She is the judicial commissioner.
215. NN: Yes, yes.
216. HJB: She is responsible for having an eye on Luxembourg judicial system and...they (Luxembourg) apply to EU law.

⁴ On March 23 2012 the Norwegian Financial Supervisory Authority (Finanstilsynet) approached CSSF and basically confirmed that Danske Bank International S.A. has never been authorized to provide the financial service we received in Norway. This means that every single contract, agreement, and any service whatsoever carried out in Norway, to Norwegian citizens is illegal and null and void. Furthermore this letter confirms that the bank in question is a criminal organization, and that it gets its support and protection from the Luxembourg Government, CSSF and other relevant governmental institutions.

- 217 01:15:32
- 218 NN: And you launch any critics against her, you will see that **you career, you career her in Luxembourg is very ...**
- 219 KB: **Pardon, what do you say?**
- 220 NN: That you try to launch some critics against her person, and **you will immediately after notice that you career, to stay here in Luxembourg, becomes more difficult**
- 221 KB (long pause). Mhm.
- 222 NN: **Ok?**
- 223 KB: So, yes.
- 224 NN: **That's, that's why I say they will recline for your job.**
- 225 KB: I'm not going to work here.
- 226 NN: No, that's, **I mean, in a future job.**
- 229 NN: **That's reality.** They are talking about reality

In this picture it should be noted that Professor [Dr. Jean Paul Lehnars](#), heading the UNESCO Chair in Human Rights and a member of Ms. Baranyi's scientific committee, obviously knows⁵ the "system" in Luxembourg, and how it works. Based upon this information he was obliged to warn Ms. Baranyi about all the life threatening dangers she obviously would encounter instigating such a study. He didn't. Instead of encouraging her, he definitely should have advised her to leave this subject and the country, at least for the sake of her family and our survival.

Based upon this serious and highly alarming information, we can – especially in the light of what is referred to below – conclude; 1) that the Luxembourg government is not based upon any democratic foundation; 2) that the Luxembourg government collaborates with the EU commissioner Reding in crushing a family who has questioned the Human Rights in Luxembourg; 3) that both the Luxembourg government as well as its "arm" in the EU – justice commissioner, Viviane Reding – are capable of ruin anyone's career, job and lives, and that they don't need any better reason than that the *target* refuses to get in line with the rest of its subdued citizens; and 4) that both the Luxembourg government and the EU commissioner Reding actually have succeeded in stealing our property, ruining our lives, and making it impossible for Ms. Baranyi to continue and finish her PhD studies in Human Rights in this country.

As mentioned above, we are refugees in Tonga solely because of EU Commissioner Reding's and the Luxembourg Government's obstruction of just about everything concerning our lives in Luxembourg, and as we are living with the Luxembourg governmental assassination order,⁶ there is no chance that Katalin can carry on with her PhD studies on Human Rights in Luxembourg, let alone entering the country to defend her thesis.

So, why should we believe this lawyer who did his best in giving us the truth about Luxembourg as a state and a corrupt organisation, and our chances? First of all; because all his essential statements correlates with our own findings. Secondly; the lawyer has pledged his allegiance to the Grand Duke – the key to our problems – and in this oath he

⁵ During a five-year review in the UN, reporting on Human Rights in their own states, the Foreign Minister of Luxembourg, Mr. Nicolas Schmit warned Professor Lehnars: "*Remember, you are here for Luxembourg, not for Human rights!*" The message was as clear as is the continuous cover up of *the state of Human Rights* in this country.

⁶ For more on this issue, see page 7 below.

has sworn to respect the courts and refrain from giving advice or defending any cause that he would not believe just in his heart and soul. Thirdly – we let him explain why:

121. NN: I know the system very
122. KB: So that's how the system works?
123. NN: I know the system. I know the system very well! Believe me! My father was the President of the Court, and uncle was police chief. I know the system very well! So believe me!
134. NN: I want to let you understand where you stand so you can, so we can better, retrieve some...you can not continue standing before the black door.
01:02:30: You stand for months before the big black door⁷ without a bell.

Based upon this, we have all reasons to believe in him, and take his warnings serious.

COURT DECISIONS IN LUXEMBOURG

During the years, Luxembourg courts have carried out numerous secret hearings and passed numerous secret decisions against us, based upon lies from the plaintiffs. In any other Rule of Law country, a judge would not accept lies or even attempt to base his judgement upon lies. In Luxembourg this is different, and again we let the lawyer comment on this:

106. NN: All the bank's lying. You must (understand). Most of the judgments are lies. They are never a resemblance of...

This correlates with our findings, and means that all decisions against us are – as we for years have claimed and documented – based upon fraud and fabricated facts (the lies), and with international eyes aimed on Luxembourg, this country should by this fact lose whatever credibility that is left. Please see footnote # 2 for more about our failure to win.

"THE BLACK DOOR" and all its implications

Since we discovered the bank's fraud against us and many others – and its continuous unauthorized financial activities in Norway – we have experienced that not a single criminal complaint, not a single lawsuit, not a single appeal, not a single objection from our side has ever been processed or tried by any court, law enforcement, or by any other governmental institution whatsoever. For more than 4 years nothing has happened with our complaints. Nothing! The only thing that *has* happened is that we have been put under covert surveillance, our mail is consistently opened, checked and damaged, all phone conversations have been tapped, Internet has been manipulated and tapped, suspicious cars and persons have kept our home under constant surveillance (more frequently the last few years), and as early as in the spring of 2009 we experienced the first murder attempt just outside our driveway when a driver of a car – during the rush hour – wilfully speeded up, passed the slow traffic by illegally using the road shoulder, aimed at the side of our car as we were entering our driveway, and hit us with full power travelling at some 70 km/h. It was just by sheer luck that we survived this murder attempt. The police and our insurance company suppressed the investigation and we were never allowed any crucial documents or information in this matter.

The lawyer has an explanation why the Government and its institutions refuse to help us, and how the Government handles situations like ours and opponents like us:

112. NN: ...no government needs to receive lessons from somebody else, depending on...circumstances, depending of the criteria, they all do what they, what is their interest, whatever... So I can not really say that I have more or less believe in face to Mexican government rather Luxembourg except that in Mexico the percentage of active mafia organizations in politics, the person of, of, citizen

⁷ See more on this expression below.

and will vote Mexico, 60 percent of all active citizen are directly been, directly involved in mafia. What I mean 0:57:24: it is, I count a very strange situation in Switzerland. You know **Switzerland is very democratic. But that stops to certain moment**, to certain extent...in each county, let me just tell you that, in each situation, each county 0:57:50: **you arrive at a BIG, BLACK, METALLIC DOOR! WITHOUT A BELL!** It's no bell.

113. NN: If you hit, if you knock too strong and too hard, somebody, you have **the man**, let us say the man is the Government, and that's the same in Norway, probably much more than... Luxembourg is a small country, ehm, different things are very difficult to put in place in Luxembourg, much more easily in country like Norway...common experience something goes the wrong way, sometimes it goes the wrong way to end at the door, the big what I call metallic black dark and very high door.

118. NN: Eh. You choose, this is not an argument, not...but **you were choosing since a long date the wrong way in Luxembourg, that you arrive, you arrived already since a couple of months at this black door**. I explain it to you. 0:59:42

119. NN: **You arrived at the black door. Ok. And I told you, in Luxembourg things are more smooth. It's a small country. Nobody is disappearing, we are not here in Mexico...**

120. KB: Hm

121. NN: **And you are knocking, and there is no bell, and for months you didn't understand that there is no bell**. That you should go home and tried other possibles?. That's what I mean. Should I be more clear? I try to be very clear with you. **I know the system very**

122. KB: So that's how the system works?

123. NN: **I know the system. I know the system very well! Believe me! My father was the President of the Court, and uncle was police chief. I know the system very well! So believe me!**

So by this we can safely conclude that Luxembourg, in line with Switzerland, is a quasi democratic state, appearing democratic but only to a certain extent. At this later point, when you reach a certain invisible and for your case; crucial line, other secret mechanisms take over for the expected democratic process. By now you are nothing but collateral damages, and at this point – when you have reached the big black metallic door – the democracy has clearly ceased to exist (if it was ever there).

Katalin expressed her opposition against this, and compared it with the dark ages. This is the lawyer's response:

124. KB: It is quite sad, because we live in 2012, and those darkness (which he describes) exist, this was supposed to be 200 years ago.

125. NN: **This darkness exist for your case since a long time.**

126. KB: Yes, I know that, but it is very sad, because they could judge us before

127. NN: Yes,

The lawyer underlines that the law of the dark ages applies to our case in Luxembourg in 2012. The lawyer then tries to explain what we did wrong, where it all went wrong:

129. NN: The first mistake you did, you did it by your own, and you did a few considerable mistakes (writing complaints and corresponding directly with the

courts⁸) and people are lazy. 01:01:18 When people are lazy, they take advantage on your faults. Your faults, your behavior, even your behavior. They take advantage by just doing nothing. Doing anything at all.

Again, the Grand Duke's lawyer confirms that Luxembourg governmental institutions have never processed our letters and complaints. Let us remind you that he is describing the richest and most democratic state in the world. To let us understand how wrong we were, attempting to defend ourselves and even complain against governmental mistreatment and tyranny, the lawyer put it straight for us:

131. NN: I make nowadays that your certain... complaints, including with the letter you wrote to Juncker, inclusive the letter you wrote to Vivian Reding...all these people. They are speaking to me. They are all saying the same. They are all telling me the same thing. They are all telling me that **you are crazy!**

132. KB: We believe you.

133. HJB: How can we be crazy? It is a fair opposition from our side. It has always been. We haven't done anything wrong. We haven't done anything wrong in Norway (either).

134. NN: **I want to let you understand where you stand** so you can, so we can better, retrieve some...you can not continue standing before the black door. 01:02:30: You stand for months before the big black door without a bell.

THE PREMIER'S ASSASSINATION ORDERS

So, studying Human Rights, defending your life, family and property in Luxembourg makes you a crazy person and gives the Government and all its institutions the right to reject any complaints, or grievances. The treatment you get is the big black door, without a bell, but – as the lawyer will explain for you – if you cross the Government in its unlawful business, as we have done, then the Government will put a price on your head:

162. NN How can you write to a person like Viviane Reding???

163. KB: In Norway you can do that. In Norway.

164. NN: 01:06:25:

165. NN: Yes, you can do it. IN NORWAY! And in any other country, any other country, BUT I tell you, the government is much stronger. In your country the government is much stronger. **If the government (Luxembourg) decides to fix what is lawful or unlawful, and you cross the lane of Government, you for sure face the man with the gun in the neck** (pointing at his neck).

166. 01:06:49:

167. **MR. BERGE!** You...**YOU HAVE the man with the gun in the** (hits the table with a snap), **in the neck. IN THE NECK. REALLY!!!**

This statement from the lawyer – who had already been speaking to high profiles in the government (later on he told us that he had even been working together with the present Minister of Finance in the law-firm of which the bank now is represented by) – is an unmistakable and definite death threat from Jean-Claude Juncker, the Luxembourg Prime Minister. We can thus conclude that Jean-Claude Juncker issues death threats against his own citizens. But it gets worse. To underline for us the seriousness of our protests, the lawyer states:

⁸ In any democratic country citizens have a right to defend themselves, *and* represent themselves directly in a court of law. Not so in Luxembourg.

168. NN: You can not...if you whistle with your nose, if you are against crucial interest in the country. The country will (hitting the table). If the government and the country decide to go ahead with some deeds in Russia, serious Israel or Palestinians, **you really disappear**, and they will do it! And you will not stop it. It's you who wants really to **pay to survive**. **The difference you have here in Luxembourg, you never, never pay to survive!** (i.e. if Jean-Claude Juncker decides to assassinate a person, you won't be able to pay for your survival, you just won't survive. You have no chances).

169. 01:07:26

170. NN: **I just, I just know one person who paid with his life....directly he was assassinated by...** Just one case.

By this statement the lawyer makes it clear; 1) that certain whistleblowers will be assassinated on orders from the Luxembourg Government; 2) that the Luxembourg Government already has put a price on Mr. Berge's head; and 3) that we will be assassinated on orders from this Government, led by Prime Minister Jean-Claude Juncker. It all sounds too bad to be true, ridiculous actually, but it is the naked truth.

This was the main reason why we had to flee our home, the country and Europe.

GOVERNMENT ORDER: PUT THE CASE ASIDE

Later on in the meeting we reverted to the problem that the public prosecutor didn't do anything with our more than 50 criminal complaints against the bank and its henchmen, and that these complaints thus still are pending. The lawyer yet again underlined the problems in Luxembourg, and how the government deals with problems like this:

195. NN: 01:13:25 (Long pause). **It's not pending. It's NOT not pending.**

196. KB: But how can we know?

197. 01:13:29

198. NN: **It's just put in the drawer. It's just in the drawer. It's not pending. It's NOT not pending. It's inexistent. It's the black door, I TOLD YOU.**

199. KB: But I mean like...

200. NN: If you say it's pending, and you insist, they will say; yes we did our work. That's nothing.

201. KB: But...

202. NN: If you send a letter; *you did not consider our complaints*, he would say; yes, but we have thousand complaints. Yes, we are busy. Yes, we are busy, yes. We have told you to not to speak with you, we are busy. **Depending what is your anger. The anger of an act. If you look here, they say; what do you want? There is no sun today. Look here. What do you want? There is no sun today. You understand?**

203. HJB: Mhm.

204. NN: That's what they...does not make sense to make...you say, **depending on your approach, they are not answering.**

205. KB: But isn't they obliged as a, they are public office, civil servants who reply to the citizens and the residents. In any democratic country, Luxembourg is...

206. 01:14:45

207. NN: (Interrupt) **any democratic country, YES.**

Again we see clearly how the Luxembourg Government deals with complaints against a totally corrupt and criminal financial industry. They do everything possible to protect it, even assassinating whistleblowers. And, as you can see from line 207, the lawyer does not consider Luxembourg as a democratic country, and as he has underlined, he knows the system. He KNOWS it!, and then we all have to believe him. After investigating this case for more than four years, we have all reasons to believe him.

But how on earth can any lawyer accept such a chaos? How can he work in such a chaos? And how is it possible for any Luxembourg lawyer to protect and defend his clients?

As all lawyers have pledged their allegiance to the Duke, the interests of their clients are always secondary to the Duke's and the Government's.

LAWYER'S ADVICE TO US

Further on how Luxembourg institutions deal with criminal complaints – and how they deal with citizens who try to defend their rights and, in these attempts, accidentally cross the Governments lane while the latter is fixing what is lawful or unlawful – this is what our lawyer recommends, a lawyer we were referred to as the best fighter of a lawyer that you could get in Luxembourg:

250. NN: Now, what CAN we do? I can't tell you! **Your complaints have been instructed. They all receive instructions, they all receive the decision by the state's attorney.**

288. NN: **And that's the only way (to sell the house and get out), the... (only) sustainable suitable way to deal with it. And all the complaints, and complaints, that will follow the same issue and the same fatality. The same, eh, the same way.**

In other words; the Attorney General, Mr. Robert Biever, has instructed just about everyone how to handle matters in which we are involved. The only thing to do is thus to sell the house, or let the Grand Duke's notary Francis Kessler carry on with his illegal auction on our house, and leave the country as soon as possible and hope to survive.

Below you will find a summary of the information and the legal advice the lawyer provided us with, of which his law-firm has billed us € 13.000,-:

The Luxembourg Government and its institutions are totally corrupted. Luxembourg is not a democratic state, and in certain important cases the Government will put you in front of a big black metallic door without a bell, and if you knock too hard on it, a man with a gun will take care of you. The Luxembourg courts are totally corrupted and pass decisions based on lies, to the advantage of the financial business. All governmental institutions act heedlessly upon orders from the Attorney General (Mr. Biever). As we have been deprived of all our savings and rights, we have complained against numerous mistreatment and tyranny from all Governmental institutions, and for this the Prime Minister Jean-Claude Juncker and his Government has put a price on our heads and ordered an assassination of us, and there is no way that we can pay ourselves free from these Governmental instructions. Hence we are advised to give up our house and our claims, get out of the country as fast as possible and hope to survive.

IN CLOSING

We believe that the voice recordings of this meeting – of which the above quotes represents a few highlights – could turn out to be one of the most significant evidence, documenting the true face of a purportedly democratic state in the heart of the Western

society. During the meeting with this Luxembourg lawyer, we have learned about the "dictator" behind *the black door* of this particular state – Jean-Claude Juncker, who has reigned for longer than most *die hard* dictators; a quarter of a century, and *that* without the slightest convincing opposition or strikes – and how he, his Government and the EU commissioner on justice, Viviane Reding, brutally misuses their powers on anyone crossing their path, manipulating every necessary democratic processes, even ordering assassinations on any significant opponents to their leadership or to the country's survival as one of the largest money launderers in the world.

Let us – before we close this letter – underline for you that we had a social life in Luxembourg. Our 9 year old son was truly integrated into the Luxembourg system, and its school. He thrived as anything, received top marks in all subjects, was the centre point in his class, and was totally devastated when we abruptly – and due to the imminent death threat – had to rip him out of the school, and take him away from all his friends, to safety. Numerous letters demonstrates that it was even more devastating for his classmates. As you hopefully understand, this is NOT how you treat human beings.

Finally let us inform you about one of the latest incidents, yet again demonstrating how corrupt the Luxembourg Government and its courts really are: A few months ago Mr. Berge published – on his Internet site: www.rettsnorge.com⁹ – parts of our findings about Luxembourg. In these articles he verified his findings with documents and video-clips. For this (we have heard) we all have been sentenced – in a secret decision after we fled Luxembourg – to pay some € 20.000 á day for as long as we refuse to delete the truth from the Internet. I.e. We have been sentenced by a Luxembourg court for publishing the truth about the bank's criminal activity, and how the bank's notary and bailiff have collaborated, upon the bank's instruction, in fulfilling the bank's criminal actions against us, and for this we have – it seems – been ordered to pay dearly. Not unexpectedly, we were not summoned to *this* secret court hearing either. The court order demonstrates beyond any doubt that the courts in Luxembourg are corruptible tools of the Grand Duke, the Government and whoever controls and runs the financial business in this dictatorship.

* * *

We have learned that our house has been illegally sold for pocket money, that it has been sold to the bank's and the notary's henchmen, and that the Government is about to break in to our house to finish off with the case and us, hence we need all possible help to stop this totally corrupt organization/Government/dictatorship from doing us more harm, and to revert this illegal action against our family and our property. We also need all help available to call off the assassination order.

As we have no reason to trust anyone in this matter, not even TI, this letter has been *activated* should anything happen to us.

Having said this, we do appreciate your soonest response.

Sincerely,

Nuku'alofa August 7 2012

Signed

Katalin Baranyi
PhD Scholar

Signed

Herman J Berge
LLB

⁹ http://www.rettsnorge.com/artikler/2012/Mars/190312_Corruption_in_Luxembourg_Part_I.htm
http://www.rettsnorge.com/artikler/2012/Mars/300312_Corruption_in_Luxembourg_Part_II.htm
http://www.rettsnorge.com/artikler/2011/November/211111_Luxembourg_the_Wormhole_to_fraud.htm
http://www.rettsnorge.com/artikler/2011/November/181111_Luxembourg%27s_Blood_money.htm